



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,786		08/21/2003	Phil MacPhail	115-31US/12667/100113	6922
23838	7590	08/04/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYO			WHITTINGTON, KENNETH		
1500 K STREET NW SUITE 700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2862	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary 10/644,786								
Examiner Art Unit Kenneth J. Whittington 2862 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time rangle available under the provisions of 3°C FR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a rapy be timely filled state 316, 69 (ACMTHS from the maring date of this communication. 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maniferm date of via gaby and vide legicial Six (6) MONTH from the malining date of this communication. 19 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maniferm date of via gaby and vide legicial Six (6) MONTH from the maniferm date of this communication. 19 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maniferm date of this communication. 19 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maniferm date of this communication. 20 If This action is FINAL. 20 If This action is for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 If NO claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 If Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 If Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 If Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 If the drawing(s) filled on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) If Objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the Examiner. 10 If The coath or declaration is object		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Renneth J. Whittington Z962		10/644,786	MACPHAIL ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ederations of time reply to swideline used the provisions of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO punic for reply is specified used the provision of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the communication of the communication of the communication of the provision of the provis	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edinations of lense may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed Edination of from the provision of the provision of 37 CFR 1.35(b). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed Edination of crapty pedied above, the maximum datablory period vill apply and vill acpins 31X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the state of the communication of the provision of the state of the communication, even if termity filed, may reduce any Status 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of there may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timedy filed after SXL (8) MoNTPS from the mailing date of this communication. If the pands for reply specified dozen is less than firth (50) days, and the studenty instrume of this (50) days will be accordanced timely. If the pands for reply specified dozen is less than firth (50) days, and the adult poly and vide larges (XI, 6) MONTPS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by studie, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office used the high period and the application to become ABANDONED. Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on	The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tile ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are eljected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * ○ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Autocie of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Primary Examiner	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•						
Disposition of Claims 4)	3) Since this application is in condition for allow	,—						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	closed in accordance with the practice under	·— ··						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Tortsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Disposition of Claims		•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Tortsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	on.						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner * Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * See The attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Paper No(s)/Mail Date. * Paper No(s)/Mail Date. * Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	a) All b) Some * c) None of:)-(d) or (f).					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	•		ion No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage					
Bot Ledynh Primary Examiner Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.			Bot Ledynn Primary Examiner					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Attachment(s)	_						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	(8) 5) Notice of Informal I						

Art Unit: 2862

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign mentioned in the description: 110 on page 3, paragraph 0015.

Figures 1, 2 and 3 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the coil being on the same substrate as the integrated circuit as recited in claims 5 and 17, the coil being on a second substrate from the integrated circuit as recited in claims 6 and 18, and multiple coils having varying orientations as recited in claims 7, 8, 19 and 20 must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment

Art Unit: 2862

of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

18

12

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally 24 limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the

Art Unit: 2862

6

12

abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains a phrase that can be implied, i.e. "is disclosed" on line 2. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

18 Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: "the integrate circuit" on line 5 lacks antecedent basis. This phrase should be "the integrated circuit". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

24

Art Unit: 2862

6

12

18

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 13, 14 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vernon et al. (US 6,268,725).

Regarding claims 1 and 13, Vernon et al. discloses an apparatus and method for a magnetic field measuring device comprising:

a coil comprising a core and a pair of contacts (See Vernon et al. col. 4, lines 24-28 and note illustrative embodiment in FIG. 4, particularly the two contacts, one above and one to ground), the core for alternating between a first magnetically saturated state and a second magnetically saturated state in response to a time-varying current signal applied to the contacts and an external magnetic field, the second magnetically saturated state having an opposite polarity to the first magnetically saturated state (See col. 4, line 1 to col. 5, line 60); and

an integrated circuit comprising two contacts and disposed on a substrate (See circuitry shown in FIGS. 1-4 associated with the sensor and see col. 4, lines 37-40), the contacts of the integrated circuit electrically coupled to the contacts of the coil, the two contacts of the integrated circuit both for providing the time-varying current signal and for receiving a

Art Unit: 2862

6

12

18

time-varying voltage signal (See same portions of Vernon et al.), the time-varying voltage signal having a duty cycle dependant upon an orientation of the coil relative to the external magnetic field (See col. 6, lines 28-31).

Regarding claims 2, 4, 14 and 16, Vernon et al. discloses the time-varying current signal is a triangular wave current signal generated using a triangular wave generator (See FIGS. 1-4 and col. 4, lines 51-65, note drive signal generator forming triangular waveforms).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 17 and 18, Vernon et al. discloses that the sensor portion may be located on the chip with the other circuitry of the magnetometer or it may be located off chip from the circuitry (See col. 4, lines 37-41).

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurihara et al. (US 5,757,184). Regarding claim 9, Kurihara et al. discloses a method for determining a magnetic field comprising:

using an integrated circuit to provide a current signal to a coil via a pair of contacts (See circuit shown in Kurihara et al. FIG. 10, note also two contacts to coil shown in FIG. 5 and see col. 6, lines 10-11), the coil comprising a core (See FIG. 5, item 1);

Art Unit: 2862

monitoring a voltage potential between the pair of contacts (See circuit shown in FIG. 10);

determining a duty cycle associated with a first electromagnetic saturation of the core, and a second electromagnetic saturation of the core, the second electromagnetic saturation of the core having an opposite polarity to the first electromagnetic saturation (See FIGS. 5-9 and col. 6, line 56 to col. 9, line 2); and

determining a direction based upon characteristics of the current signal, and the duty cycle (See col. 8, line 66 to col. 9, line 2 and col. 1, lines 15-19).

Regarding claim 10, the integrated circuit provides a triangular output signal (See col. 9, lines 32-41).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, the integrated circuit monitors the voltage potential (See FIGS. 5-9 and col. 6, line 56 to col. 9, line 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

18

Art Unit: 2862

12

24

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere*6 *Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 4-8, 13, 14, 16-20 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurihara et al. in view of Vernon et al. Regarding claims 1, 5, 6, 13, 17 and 18, Kurihara et al. discloses a geomagnetism sensor comprising:

a coil comprising a core and a pair of contacts (See Kurihara et al. FIG. 5, note two contacts to coil shown and core), the core for alternating between a first magnetically saturated state and a second magnetically saturated state in response to a time-varying current signal applied to the contacts and an external magnetic field, the second magnetically saturated state having an opposite polarity to the first magnetically saturated state (See FIGS. 5-9 and col. 6, line 56 to col. 9, line 2); and

Art Unit: 2862

an integrated circuit comprising two contacts (See circuitry shown in FIG. 10 associated with the sensor and see col. 9, line 6 to col. 11, line 23), the contacts of the integrated circuit electrically coupled to the contacts of the coil, the two contacts of the integrated circuit both for providing the time-varying current signal and for receiving a time-varying voltage signal (See FIG. 10, note connection and see same paragraphs), the time-varying voltage signal having a duty cycle dependant upon an orientation of the coil relative to the external magnetic field (See col. 8, line 66 to col. 9, line 2 and col. 1, lines 15-19).

However, Kurihara et al. does not explicitly teach the arrangement of the magnetometer with regard to a substrate.

Vernon et al. teaches that magnetometers can be arranged on a chip such that either the sensor portion including the coil and core are located either on the same chip or located off chip on a separate substrate (See Vernon et al. col. 4, lines 37-41).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the circuitry onto a chip (substrate) and to either have the sensor portion on the same chip or another chip from the circuitry. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to place the components on a substrate or chip to

provide a stable platform onto which to assemble and connect the

Art Unit: 2862

various circuit components and further one having ordinary skill in the art would motivated to either place the sensor on a separate substrate or the same substrate as the other circuitry because such arrangements are art recognized equivalents for measuring magnetic fields as recognized by Vernon et al. (see same paragraphs).

Regarding claims 2, 4, 14 and 16, Kurihara et al. discloses the time-varying current signal is a triangular wave current signal generated using a triangular wave generator (See Kurihara et al. col. 9, lines 32-41).

Regarding claims 7 and 19, Kurihara et al. teaches a second coil, the second coil comprising a second core and a second pair of contacts (See Kurihara et al. FIG. 19, item 41y), the second core for alternating between a third magnetically saturated state and a fourth magnetically saturated state in response to a time-varying current signal applied to the second pair of contacts and the external magnetic field, the fourth

18 magnetically saturated state having an opposite polarity to the third magnetically saturated state, the second coil disposed at a substantially non-zero angle relative to the first coil (See col. 14, line 11 to col. 18, line 60).

Regarding claims 8 and 20, Kurihara et al. teaches a third coil, the third coil comprising a third core and a third pair of

Page 11

Art Unit: 2862

contacts (See Kurihara et al. FIG. 19, item 41z), the third core for alternating between a fifth magnetically saturated state and a sixth magnetically saturated state in response to a time-varying current signal applied to the third pair of contacts and the external magnetic field, the sixth magnetically saturated state having an opposite polarity to the fifth magnetically saturated state, the third coil disposed at a substantially non-zero angle relative to both the first coil and the second coil (See col. 14, line 11 to col. 18, line 60).

Claims 3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Kurihara et al. in view of Vernon et al.

as applied to claims 1 and 13 above, and further in view of

Kawahito et al. (A 2-D CMOS Microfluxgate Sensor System for

Digital Detection of Weak Magnetic fields). Kurihara et al. in

view of Vernon et al. teaches the features of claims 1 and 13 as

discussed above. Further, this combination teaches using a

triangular wave generator (See Kurihara et al. col. 9, lines 32
41). However, this combination does not teach a square wave to

triangular wave converter. Kawahito et al. teaches applying a

triangular wave form to a coil in a fluxgate sensor using a

square to triangular wave generator (See FIG. 8 on page 1847).

It would have been obvious to use the square to triangular wave

Art Unit: 2862

converter as the triangular wave generator in the noted combination. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to create a consistent triangular signal wave-form based on a digital clock signal (See Kawahito et al. page 1848).

6

12

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as relating to various designs for geomagnetic fluxgate sensors and methods of operating therefor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth J. Whittington whose telephone number is (571) 272-2264. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be
reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the
organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is
703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2862

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll+free).

Kenneth J Whittingtor

Examiner

Art Unit 2862